	Case 2:23-cv-09430-SVW-PD Document #:2	130 Filed 11/18/24 Page 1 of 4 Page ID 2586
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Michael@murphlaw.net Michael.jr@murphlaw.net Michael.jr@murphlaw.net LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL C. MURPHY 2625 Townsgate Road, Suite 330 Westlake Village, CA 91361 Tel.: 818-558-3718 Fax: 805-367-4506 Attorneys for Defendant,	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13 14 15 16	ROBERT HUNTER BIDEN, an individual, Plaintiff,	Case No.: 2:23-cv-09430-SVW-PD Judge: Honorable Stephen V. Wilson Courtroom: "10A" Complaint Filed: November 8, 2023
17 18 19 20	VS.	DEFENDANT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 7 TO PRECLUDE WITNESSES AND EVIDENCE NOT
21 22	PATRICK M. BYRNE, an individual, Defendant.	26(f); MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF
23 24) Date: November 25, 2024) Time: 3:00 p.m.) Courtroom: "10A"
25 26	***	
27	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	
28)1.

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL C. MURPHY 2625 Townsgate Road, Suite 330 Westlake Village, CA 91361

DEFENDANT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 7 TO PRECLUDE WITNESSES AND EVIDENCE NOT DISCLOSED PURSUANT TO RULE 26(f); MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF

3 4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

21

22

20

23 24

25

26 27

28

///

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEY'S OF RECORD:

Defendant Patrick Byrne hereby replies in support of his motion to prevent Plaintiff from offering undisclosed evidence or witness testimony:

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

INTRODUCTION

Defendant respectfully requests that the Court grant this motion in limine. Defendant has complied with and will continue to comply with the disclosure requirements under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 26(f), Rule 26(a)(1), and Rule 26(a)(3)(A). Plaintiff has not. For example, Plaintiff listed a treating physician as a witness without first submitting the necessary expert disclosure statement for her. Similarly, Plaintiff suddenly turned over numerous unauthenticated screenshots and transcripts the Friday before his opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and the joint exhibit list were due. Further, if Plaintiff wishes to file a motion in limine requesting the same relief, then he must file his own motion in limine.

Therefore, this Court should grant this Motion and preclude Plaintiff from calling undisclosed witnesses or using undisclosed evidence at the time of trial. Defendant requests that this order include intentionally *late*-disclosed witnesses and evidence.

Finally, it is respectfully requested that the Court order Plaintiff and his counsel to stop intentionally misrepresenting the facts regarding any ongoing discovery dispute. Defendant has never once refused to return to the U.S. for his deposition. Unfortunately, his health has caught up to him, forcing an unforeseen, but temporary, speed bump in completing his deposition. Plaintiff has been aware of this fact since November 4, 2024, yet still maligns Defendant before the Court. It must stop.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGAL ARGUMENT II.

A. Plaintiff's Undisclosed Witnesses and Evidence Must Be Excluded

The time for disclosing witnesses and evidence is nearly past, and Plaintiff should be precluded from calling any witnesses or using any evidence he failed to disclose. Likewise, Plaintiff should not be permitted to "amend" his disclosure close to (i.e., at the last possible minute) or after the close of discovery. Plaintiff has an established pattern of dropping evidence in Defendant's lap at the last possible second. This behavior is pure gamesmanship, and he should not be permitted to continue to engage in it.

Plaintiff must be precluded from attempting to amend his disclosures, the witness list, or the exhibit list on the eve of trial. Some examples of witnesses and evidence he failed to include in the joint trial exhibit and witness lists are included in the moving papers and incorporated by reference herein. Plaintiff's habit of conducting discovery by surprise will no doubt translate into an attempt to conduct trial by surprise. He must be precluded from doing so.

B. Plaintiff Refused to Meet and Confer

Plaintiff's own Exhibit A clearly shows he refused to further discuss Defendant's Motions in Limine, simply stating he categorically refused to stipulate to any of the motions we proposed. Moreover, Plaintiff's counsel expressly stated that he did not have any of his own motions to file and should not be permitted to piggy-back off of Defendant's motion here.

CONCLUSION III.

Based on the foregoing, Defendant respectfully requests that this Court grant this Motion.

///

/// 26

/// 27

/// 28

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL C. MURPHY 2625 Townsgate Road, Suite 330 Westlake Village, CA 91361